

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,095	09/17/2003	Morgan D. Murphy	DP-309055	6183
22851	7590 06/29/2004		EXAMINER	
	CHNOLOGIES, INC.		DAVIS, OC	CTAVIA L
M/C 480-410- PO BOX 5052			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TROY, MI	48007		2855	
			DATE MAILED, 07/20/200	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			8
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	у
	10/665,095	MURPHY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Octavia Davis	2855	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	is
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of to dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) Module, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this commu  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			erits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in	Application No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the p</li></ol>	priority documents have be	en received in this National Sta	ige
application from the International But			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies n	ot received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB</li> </ul>	_ `	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

Application/Control Number: 10/665,095 Page 2

Art Unit: 2855

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fortune et al.

Regarding claim 1, Fortune et al an improved weight estimation apparatus comprising a bladder 24, 30 disposed in a seat 12 and a rigid member 32 having a non- uniform rigidity defined by multiple regions of substantial rigidity separated by multiple regions of insubstantial rigidity such that said multiple regions of substantial rigidity effect regional transfer of occupant weight, determined by a controller 46, to said bladder while protecting said bladder from puncture damage and limiting bladder sensitivity to irregularities of said seat (See Col. 2, lines 42 – 66 and (Col. 3, lines 8 – 12).

Regarding claim 6, a cushioning layer 58 has non-uniform thickness (See Col. 4, lines 25 – 37).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2-5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fortune et al in view of Van Voorhies.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 7 and 8, Fortune et al disclose all of the limitations of these claims except for a teaching that the panel includes multiple plates of substantial rigidity affixed to said base sheet. However, Van Voorhies discloses a weight sensor comprising a plurality of interfaces 204 including multiple sheets or plates 17, 19 formed from a load cell element 15 and affixed to a seat cushion foam 44 (See Col. 10, lines 1-4 and 30-41).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fortune et al according to the teachings of Van Voorhies for the purpose of, providing a weight sensor with increased flexibility to enable the entire assembly to conform to the deflections of the seat cushion (See Van Voorhies, Col. 6, lines 33 - 41).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Fortune et al disclose all of the limitations of these claims except for a teaching that the multiple plates exhibit different degrees of rigidity and different thicknesses. However, in Van Voorhies, the sheets or plates 17, 19 exhibit different degrees of rigidity and different thicknesses (See Col. 11, lines 2 1- 29).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fortune et al according to the teachings of Van Voorhies for the purpose of, providing a weight sensor which is responsive to loads over a large area of the seat without regards to the distribution or the amount of loading (See Van Voorhies, Col. 8, lines 33 – 38).

Art Unit: 2855

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gray et al (6,578,871) teach an improved occupant detection system and method.

Cech et al (6,056,079) teach an automotive seat weight sensing system.

VanVoorhies (5,918,696) teaches a seat weight sensor with means for distributing loads.

Aoki et al (6,571,647) teach a seat weight measuring apparatus.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Octavia Davis at telephone number (571) 272 - 2176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursdays (9:00 - 5:00), Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz, can be reached on (571) 272 - 2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 – 9306.

DP

OD/2855

6/17/04

EDWARD LEFKOWITZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800